PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW FORM

SITE NAME: BROOKLYN UNION GAS - CITIZENS GATE STATION ALIASES:
ADDRESS: GIA ST. Y DNA AUE
CITY: BROOKLYN
COUNTY: KINGS
STATE: N.Y.
PRIORITY RATING GIVEN: LOW (BY EAA - 1986)
(BY STATE OR CONTRACTOR)

AGREZ: V DISAGREE: (CEECK ONE)

IP DISAGREE, WEY?

OTBER CONNENTS: A.E.C. PLANS TO INSPECT ALL B.U.G. SITES; REPORTS

WILL BE SENT TO E.P.A. ALSO

E.A.A. HAS GENERAL IMPRESSION THAT THE PCB WASTES

ARE BEING MANALED RESPONSIBLY BY B.U.G.

RECONMENDATION: PINAL (BY EPA)

REVIEWER: Ben Conetta DATE: 2/5/89

Attachment to PA Form

Background on Existing Pipeline Gate Stations (Brooklyn Union Gas Company Sites)

Thirteen of the Brooklyn Union Gas Company (B.U.G.) sites on the list of PA candidates are "gate stations" that house pressure-regulating equipment to control the flow of natural gas throughout the distribution system. (Clifton Works, although not labelled as such, is currently a gate station.) Entrained with the natural gas being transported through the pipeline system is a liquid condensate made up of hydrocarbons and water. At eleven of the gate stations, this mixture is removed from the natural gas flow by scrubbers and collected in underground holding tanks, where it separates into two phases (hydrocarbon condensate and water) after a short settling time. Two of the gate stations (Coney Island and Clifton) are "mini gates" that have no scrubber or condensate collection tank on site (i.e., condensate is not removed from the system at these two points).

In the winter of 1981, Brooklyn Union Gas (along with several other gas distribution companies) discovered that the condensate in their pipelines was contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), possibly introduced via the compressor oils used to lubricate the pipelines. Regardless of the source, varying levels of PCBs are still being detected in the natural gas condensates removed at B.U.G.'s gate stations.

Since the discovery of PCB contamination in 1981, it has become standard practice at Brooklyn Union Gas to test each batch of collected condensate for PCBs before removing it from the underground tank at each gate station. According to B.U.G., the water phase of the collected liquid consistently demonstrates no PCB contamination, as the PCBs are insoluble and stay with the hydrocarbon phase; therefore, water is periodically pumped from the tanks. If, when tested, the remaining hydrocarbon phase shows a PCB concentration of more than 50 ppm (dictated by EPA regulations), that particular batch is considered hazardous and is sent to an EPA-approved facility for destruction. If the PCB test indicates a concentration of 50 ppm or less, the hydrocarbon condensate is burned as a high-quality fuel at B.U.G.'s Greenpoint Energy Facility.

Due to the constant shifting of pressure differentials within the pipeline system, B.U.G. cannot predict when each of the gate station condensate tanks will fill up or what the PCB concentrations will be. The tank levels are inspected regularly; if a tank were to overflow, the condensate would simply re-enter the pipeline system to be removed at another gate station. Gate station logs (provided to EPA by B.U.G.) show that only one shipment of PCB-contaminated condensate was necessary during fiscal year 1985. A total of 43 drums of condensate was removed from the gate station tanks on 9/25/85, stored for less than one week at B.U.G.'s Greenpoint Energy Facility, and shipped out to ENSCO, Inc. (in Arkansas) for incineration. Last April, a similar quantity of condensate was shipped out for destruction; this most recent shipment could be the only one necessary during this fiscal year. EPA has been invited to tour the gate stations and to witness the next removal of condensate (as tank levels dictate).

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) currently has plans to inspect all of the B.U.G. locations by the end of the calendar year. Instead of duplicating State efforts, EPA has arranged to be copied on the individual inspection reports as each one is completed. This, along with a general impression that B.U.G. is handling their PCB wastes in a responsible manner, has led to the assignment of a "low" priority for EPA inspection in Part 1 of the PA forms completed for these sites.

\$EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION

L IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE | 02 SITE NUMBER

NYD | 980532212

	ATTO GUARITITIES AND	D CHARACTERS	STICS				
II. WASTE ST	ATES, QUANTITIES, AN	O WASTE CHANT	TV AT SITE	03 WASTE CHARACTE	RISTICS (Check of that app	(y)	
□ A SOUD □ E. SLURRY □ B. POWDER, FINES □ F. L'QUID □ C. SLUDGE □ G. GAS		02 WASTE QUANTITY AT SITE (Measure of waste quantities must be independent) TONS CUBIC YARDS		A. TOXIC B. CORROSIVE E. INFECTIC C. RADIOACTIVE E. G. FLAMMA D. PERSISTENT E. H. IGNITABI		E CI. HIGHLY VOLATRE DUS CI. EXPLOSIVE BLE CK. RE4CTIVE	
D. OTHER (Seedly)		NO.OF DRUMS					
III. WASTE T	YPE						
CATEGORY	SUBSTANCE N	AME	01 GROSS AMOUNT	02 UNIT OF MEASURE	03 COMMENTS		
SLU	SLUDGE						
OLW	OILY WASTE			<u> </u>			
SOL	SOLVENTS						
PSD	PESTICIDES						
occ	OTHER ORGANIC CHEMICALS						
IOC	INORGANIC CHEMICALS						
ACD	ACIDS						
SAS	BASES						
MES	HEAVY METALS			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
IV. HAZARD	OUS SUBSTANCES (See .	Lapendiz for most frequer		, 		T	06 MEASURE OF CONCENTRATION
01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE NAME		03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DISPOSAL METHOD		05 CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION
	•						
						ļ	
							
			<u> </u>			ļ	
						ļ	
	·						
							<u> </u>
						<u> </u>	
							<u> </u>
							
 							
V. FEEDST	OCKS (See Appendix for CAS Nu	moers)					
		OCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK NAME 02 CAS N		02 CAS NUMBER
FDS				FDS			<u> </u>
FOS				FDS	1		
FDS				FDS			
FDS				FDS			<u> </u>
VI. SOURC	ES OF INFORMATION	Cita specific references. (g., state liles, sample analys	ue, reports)			
"Noti	fication of Ha	zardous Wa	aste Site",	filed 6/81	(with attac	hment by B.U	.G.)

Correspondence and conversations with Kate Hartnett, Technical Analyst, B.U.G.